

Chapter 4

Controlled Environment Production of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants

Gary W. Stutte*

Sustainable Systems Group,
Kennedy Space Center, Florida 32899, United States

*E-mail: gary.w.stutte@nasa.gov.

Controlled environment (CE) technology enables the production of plants and their products inside structures such as greenhouses, growth chambers, and indoor plant factories. Growth conditions are managed to optimize the concentration of high value phytochemicals, maximize yields, and minimize microbial and insect contamination on a year round basis. CE technology removes the geographical constraints to production by enabling environmental (temperature, photoperiod, light quality, CO₂) and cultural (rooting media, nutrient composition, irrigation) factors to be managed and replicated anywhere in the world. CE technology has potential to increase availability, improve quality, and reduce over-harvesting pressures of medicinal and aromatic plants (MAPs) supplying the commercial market. Although CE is widely used for the production of vegetables and ornamental species, there is limited published data on growth, production, and chemistry of MAPs in CE. This article provides an overview of research conducted on production of MAPs in CE, provides examples of potential CE's to increase yield and quality, and suggests areas for future development.

Introduction

It's estimated that up to 80% of the world's population use traditional herbal medicine as first, and often only, source of medicine. MAPs are botanical raw materials where their primary use is for therapeutic, aromatic and culinary purposes. They are components of medicinal production, additives to foods, and medicinal products (1). They are available as fresh or dried material, processed to essential oils, or processed to form extracts (2). These raw materials are used in a large number of products as constituents in prescription and over the counter drugs (3).

Although difficult to establish with any level of precision, it's been estimated that the global market value was \$32.9 billion in 2013, up from \$19.5 billion in 2009, an impressive 11.0% annual growth rate (4). Retail sales of herbal supplements in the US were estimated to be at least \$6.4 billion in 2014, with an average growth rate of >4% per annum over the previous decade (5). Although thousands of plant species have some medicinal use, far fewer (<400) have established international trade markets, and a minority of these species account for the bulk of sales (6).

With increased demand for MAPs, issues of reliable product availability and concern on quality of the product with respect to constituents and composition are increasing (7). The number of incidents involving adulteration and contamination are on the rise, posing health risks to consumers, liability issues for producers, and regulatory issues for the industry (8).

Opportunities for CE and MAPs

The demand for MAPs threatens the availability of raw material, creating the need to develop sustainable collection practices from the wild (3), improve and expand cultivation techniques (9–11), and use biotechnology to increase the availability of plant material (12–14) and bioactive products (15, 16). CE production can play a direct or supporting role in all these areas (12, 17).

Although there is limited information on CE production for the majority of MAPs of commerce, there is growing literature on greenhouse production of species with both ornamental and medicinal application such as *Achillea millefolium*, *Artemisia vulgaris*, *Calendula officinalis*, *Capsicum* sp., *Echinacea* sp., *Inula helenium*, *Matricaria recutita*, *Salvia* sp., *Stellaria media*, *Tagete* sp., *Tanacetum parthenium*, *Taraxacum officinale*, and *Valeriana officinalis* (18, 19).

Background on Controlled Environments

Controlled environment agriculture is the production of plants and their products inside structures, such as greenhouses, growth chambers and growth facilities. By using CE, temperature, relative humidity, nutrients and water can be optimized using environmental and control technology to increase yield and consistency in an efficient and sustainable manner. The ability to monitor and control the environment with CE technology removes climatic and geographic

barriers for production and enables year-round supply of plant material and product.

CE has particular advantage over field production of MAPs since the elevated CO₂ typically increases photosynthetic rate and yield (20–23). Similarly, higher quantities of light result in increased photosynthetic rates, and yields, as well (24). Both light and CO₂ concentration affect carbon partitioning and subsequent phytochemical content in the plant.

There is compelling evidence that CO₂ enrichment increases the total biomass produced by the plant, and generally increases the concentration of secondary metabolites produced. The effect of CO₂ concentration on plant physiology is species, and indeed cultivar, specific and with light intensity, temperature, nutrition and other environmental factors not well understood (25–28).

The following sections will summarize a cross-section of available literature to highlight to potential to increase the quality and quantity of MAPs in CE.

Carbon Dioxide Enrichment and MAPs

Enriching the CE atmosphere with CO₂ is often used to increase yield, reduce harvest time, and enhance quality of ornamental, vegetable and fruit crops (26). While most of the research has focused on high value ornamental and horticultural species, there is growing evidence supporting the CO₂ enhancement of biomass production of MAPs including *Datura stramonium* (29), *Panax ginseng* (30), *Papaver setigerum* (31), *Echinacea* sp (32), *Podophyllum hexandrum* (33), *Hypericum perforatum* L. (34, 35), *Digitalis lanata* (36, 37), *Hymenocallis littoralis* Jacq. *Salisb* (38), *Labisia nigra* L. (39), *Taxus baccata* (32) and *Zingiber officinale* (40).

In addition to increase in biomass, the concentration bioactive compounds also increases in these species. Table 1 provides an overview of effect of elevated CO₂ on concentration of bioactive compounds in MAPs (29–40). The increase in bioactive compounds is consistent with increases reported in horticultural and agronomic species such as *Brassica oleracea* va. *Italic Plenck* (41) and *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. (42, 43).

Stutte *et al.* (44), grew *Scutellaria lateriflora* L., and *S. barbata* under fluorescent lamps in a controlled environment chamber at three CO₂ concentrations (400, 1200, and 3000 ppm). They reported more rapid flowering and and significant increases in flavonoid concentration in response to elevated CO₂ in both species. There was a 2.4X increase in total flavonoid concentration from 400 to 1200 ppm, and a 4.9X increase over ambient CO₂ at 3000 ppm in *S. lateriflora*. There was a similar response in total biomass observed with *S. barbata*. When the combined effects of CO₂ enrichment on biomass and flavonoid concentration are taken together, this translates to a 13.7 fold increase in net production of bioactive compounds by increasing concentration from ambient (400 ppm) to 3000 ppm.

Similarly, Idso *et al.* (28) reported that increasing CO₂ from 400 to 700 ppm resulted in 48% increase in above ground and 56% increase in below ground (bulb) biomass and a mean increase of 12% in concentration of bioactive constituents, effectively increasing the amount of bioactive constituent per bulb by 75%.

Table 1. Summary of Selected Medicinal and Aromatic Plants That Have Shown Increases in Concentration of Bioactive Secondary Metabolites in Response to CO₂ Enrichment of the Atmosphere

| <i>Species</i> | <i>Concentration (ppm)</i> | <i>Response</i> | <i>Reference</i> |
|--|----------------------------|---|------------------|
| <i>Brassica oleracea</i> <i>va. Italic Plenck</i> | 450, 750 | Increase glycosinolates | (41) |
| <i>Datura stramonium</i> | 294, 378, 690 | Increase scopolamine | (29) |
| <i>Digitalis lanata</i> | 350, 1000 | Increase digoxin-momo-digitoxoside digoxin digitoxin | (37) |
| <i>Echinacea sp</i> | 350, 500, 700 | Increase in caftaric acid and total phenols in root | (32) |
| <i>Glycine max (L.) Merr:</i> | 400, 700 | Increase isoflavonoid concentration | (42) |
| | 360, 650 | Increase in daidzein, genistein, glycitein, diadzin, genistin, glycitin, 6"-O-acetyldaidzin, 6"-O-acetylgenistin, 6"-O-acetylglycitin, 6"-O-malonyldaidzin, 6"-O-malonylgenistin, "-O-malonylglycitin | (43) |
| <i>Hymenocallis littoralis Jacq. Salisb</i> | 400, 700 | Increase bulb biomass, increase in 7-deoxynarciclasine, 7-deoxy-trans-dihydronarciclasine, pancratistatin, trans-dihydronarciclasine, narciclasine. | (30) |
| <i>Hypericum perforatum L.</i> | 360, 1000 | Increase hypericin and pseudohypericin concentration | (35) |
| | 500, 100, 1500 | Increase concentration of hypericin, pseudohypericin and hyperforin | (34) |
| | 350, 500, 750 | Increase total flavonoids | (32) |
| <i>Labisia nigra L.</i> | 400, 800, 1200 | Increase total flavonoids, total phenolics, antioxidant capacity | (39) |
| <i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> | 294, 378, 690 | Reduce nicotine | (29) |

Continued on next page.

Table 1. (Continued). Summary of Selected Medicinal and Aromatic Plants That Have Shown Increases in Concentration of Bioactive Secondary Metabolites in Response to CO₂ Enrichment of the Atmosphere

| <i>Species</i> | <i>Concentration (ppm)</i> | <i>Response</i> | <i>Reference</i> |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------|
| <i>Panax ginseng</i> | 1, 2.5 and 5% | Increase total phenolics, total flavonoids | (30) |
| <i>Papaver setigerum</i> | 300, 400, 500, 600 | Increase in total alkaloid content | (31) |
| <i>Scutellaria barbata</i> | 400, 1200, 3000 | Increase concentration of scutellarein, baicain, apigenin | (44) |
| <i>Scutellaria lateriflora</i> | 400, 1200, 3000 | Increase concentration of baicalin, baicalein, wogonin and chrysin | (44) |
| <i>Taxus bacatta</i> | 350, 500, 750 | Increase taxol | (32) |
| <i>Zingiber officinale</i> | 400, 800 | Increase total phenols, total flavonoids, antioxidant potential | (40) |

Stutte (unpublished) found that increasing CO₂ concentration from 400 to 1200 ppm resulted in a 106% increase in shoot length, 64% increase in dry mass, and 12.8 % increase in anti-oxidant potential of *Prunella vulgaris* L. grown for 54 d under triphosphor fluorescent lamps at 300 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on 18h light/ 6 h dark photoperiod (19.4 Mol $\text{m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ PAR). This was equivalent to a 69% increase in antioxidant potential (Table2).

Table 2. Effect of Elevated CO₂ on Shoot Length, Fresh Mass, Dry Mass, And Anti-Oxidant Potential of 54 Day Old *Prunella vulgaris* Grown under Controlled Environment Conditions¹

| <i>CO₂ (ppm)</i> | <i>Shoot Length (mm)</i> | <i>Fresh Mass (g)</i> | <i>Dry Mass (g)</i> | <i>ORAC ($\mu\text{mol TE/g FM}$)</i> | <i>ORAC ($\mu\text{mol TE/plant}$)</i> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| 400 | 71.8 | 25.8 | 3.26 | 20.6 | 529 |
| 1200 | 148.3 | 35.8 | 5.63 | 23.1 | 895 |
| Significance ² | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** |

¹ Plant were grown at 23°C, 65% RH, and 300 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR on a 16h light/8h dark photoperiod under triphosphor fluorescent lamps. ² Tukey t-test, significant at $P \geq 0.01 = **$, $P \geq 0.001 = ***$.

Light Quantity and Quality in CE

Light quantity and quality both had significant impacts on growth and quality of MAPs. Increasing the quantity of light by increasing intensity or duration generally results in an increase in plant biomass (24) of horticultural and agronomic species (45).

The University of Wisconsin and the Wisconsin Center for Space Automation and Robotics evaluated the use of LEDs for plant growth in the late 1980s, and patents were awarded for this application in 1991 (45). Work at Kennedy Space Center (KSC) in Florida indicated that lettuce, wheat, spinach, and radish plants would grow and complete their life cycles under red light alone, but growth and development were significantly better when a small amount of blue light was added to the red (47). Since that initial work in the late 1980, early 1990's a substantial body of literature has developed demonstrating the potential of LEDs as supplemental and sole-source lighting in horticultural applications (46–50).

There is strong evidence suggesting that total yield of biomass increases with increasing quantity of light reaching the canopy. The quantity can be increased through either increasing the intensity or duration of a lighting cycling. The specific light response curves are dependent upon the species and cultivar. The effect of light intensity on yield and composition has been reported for a number of medicinal plants, including *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* (51), *Hypericum perforatum* (29, 52, 53), *Rhodiola sachalinensis* (54), *Tabernaemontana pachysiphon* (55), *Tanacetum parthenium* (27), and *Zingiber officinale* (56).

Figure 1 illustrates the effect of light intensity on size and flower number of *Tagetes patella* grown under cool white fluorescent lamps on 16 hr light/ 8 hr dark cycle at 22°C, 60% RH and elevated (1000 ppm) CO₂ for 79 days in a controlled environment chamber. Doubling the light intensity from 300 to 600 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ resulted in a 58% increase in total plant dry mass, with a 117% increase in dry mass partitioned to the flowers/calyx. There was an 18% increase in lutein concentration/g in flowers/calyx resulting in an effective 2.6X increase in lutein content per plant (Stutte, unpublished).

In addition to using LEDs to increase yield, there is a growing literature demonstrating the use of LEDs to increase the concentration and composition of secondary metabolites (i.e. polyphenolics and glucosinolates) for many species, among which are included commercially valuable crops such as strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*) (57), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) (58, 59), kale (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *acephala*) (60), salad greens (e.g., *Lactuca sativa*) (61, 62), and microgreens (e.g., *Brassica oleracea*) (63).

There is also growing evidence that quality and composition of medicinal and aromatic plants can be managed through spectral quality. Stutte et al. (61), reported that addition of blue (440 nm) light affects not only morphology, but concentration of anthocyanin in *Lactuca sativa* L. cv. Outredgeous (Figure 2) well. Addition of blue light during final week of development increased anthocyanin content four fold over controls.



Figure 1. *Tagetes patula* grown for 79 days in CE chamber at 22°C, 60% RH and 16 hr light/ 8 hr dark photoperiod at $300 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ($17.28 \text{ M m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$)(left) or $600 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR ($34.56 \text{ M m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$)(right) from high pressure sodium lamps at 1000 ppm CO_2 .



Figure 2. Twenty-eight day old *Lactuca sativa* cv. *Outredgeous* grown under either red (660 nm (left)) or blue/red (440/660 nm) (right) LEDs at $280 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR on 16h light/ 8 hr dark photoperiod at 23°C at ambient (365 ppm) CO_2 . [Reproduced with permission from reference (50). Copyright 2015, Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.].

Samuoline *et al.* (64), reported that light intensity and quality affected the concentration of phenolics, anthocyanins and ascorbic acid in sprouts of *Amaranthus cruentus*, *Ocimum basilicum*, *Brassica oleracea* cultivars, *Brassica juncea*, *Atriplex hortensis* L., *Borago officinalis*, *Beta vulgaris*, *Petroselinum crispum* and *Pisum sativum*. The responses were species specific, highlighting the need to optimize spectral quality and quantity for each species being considered.

Similarly, Tarakonaov *et al.* (65) found that altering the spectral composition with blue and red LEDs had varying effects on chlorophyll a/b, carotenoids and anthocyanin content and concentration of *Brassica juncea*, *Lactuca sativa*, *Ocimum gratissimum*, *Coleus blumei* and *Tagetes patula*. Park *et al.* (66) used narrow spectra LEDs to treat *Panax ginseng* Mayer roots with different wavelengths of light (380, 450, 470 or 660 nm) and found that blue wavelengths (450 and 470 nm) significantly increased the production of ginsenosides in the root tissue.

Nishimura *et al.* (67) found that growing *H. perforatum* under red, blue or white fluorescent lights in a controlled environment chamber at 27/24°C thermoperiod, and 16 hr photoperiod at 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{CO}_2$ at 250 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR increased total biomass, as well as concentration of hypericin, hypeicin, and pseudohypericin. Plants grown under red (600-700 nm) light had higher biomass than those grown under blue (400-500 nm) or white (400-700 nm), but spectral quality did not significantly affect the concentration of bioactive constituents. Doubling the light intensity from 250 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR (14.4 $\text{Mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) to 500 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR (28.8 $\text{Mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) increased the biomass per plant for each light level approximately 2 fold (range 1.5-2.6X). Although biomass of plants grown at 250 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR was lower, the concentration of hypericin, hyperorin and pseudohypericin was at least 2 fold higher, with greatest effect under red light (3.1-3.5X higher).

Solar light contains both UV-A (320-400 nm) and UV-B (280-320 nm) wavelengths that plants are adapted to, so indoor agriculture scenarios providing electrical sources of sole source lighting, especially of the narrow-spectrum type, may encounter situations in which produce quality and/or appearance may reflect a lack of UV radiation.

It has been hypothesized that the production of secondary products is reduced when UV-B is removed from the light spectra of plants grown in the greenhouse or under electric lamps. The effects of UV-B on plant production of secondary metabolites has been the subject of recent reviews (68, 69).

The addition of UV-B to the spectra has been shown to increase the production of hyperforin, pseudohypericin and hypericin in *Hypericum perforatum* (70), essential oil content and composition of *Nepata cataria* L., *Melissa officinalis* L. and *Salvia officinalis* L. (69), anthocyanin, total phenolics, anti-oxidant capacity and rosmarinic acid content of *Ocimum basilicum* L. (71, 72), melatonin concentration in *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* roots (73), brachycerine concentration of *Psychotria brachyceras* (74), and terpene content of *Mentha x peperita* L. (75).

Brechner *et al.* (70) found that a single 40 minute exposure of UV-B to 55 day old *H. perforatum* grown at 400 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR increased the concentration of hypericin, hyperorin and pseudohypericin from 2.5 to 3.7 fold within 24 hours. There was no additional benefit from additional or longer exposures. The effect of

UV-B treatment during flowering, the highest period of production of bioactives, is not known.

Constraints To Use of CE for MAPs

Although CE technology is widely used to insure quality and consistency of production of vegetable and ornamental crops, limited published information exists on the use of CE to produce MAPs. This is probably not surprising, given that MAPs requiring long harvest cycles, (e.g. *Ginseng panex*, *Hydrastis canadensis*, *Actaea racemosa*), large volumes (e.g. *Humulus lupulus*) or both (e.g. *Uncaria tomentosa*) are not economically viable for production.

Bioactives derived from byproducts of commercial horticulture (e.g. *Allium sativum*, *Vaccinium macrocarpon*, *Vaccinium microcarpon*, *Vitis vinifera* seed, *Citrus* sp. oil), agronomic (e.g. *Glycine max*) and forage (e.g. *Trifolium pratense*, *Medicago sativa*) crops are readily and cheaply available making it difficult to justify the capital investment and operating cost for CE production. Similarly CE production of bioactives derived from trees (e.g. *Crataegus monogyna*, *Ginkgo biloba*, *Serenoa repens*) is generally not economical due to long life cycle and large size.

However, there is significant opportunity to use CE technology for the production of uniform, high quality transplants for commercial cultivation. This has potential to significantly reduce time to harvest, reduce harvest pressure on endangered populations and increase profitability for the grower.

Summary

Controlled environment technology has a role in addressing several issues facing the medicinal and aromatic plant industries. Controlled environments and biotechnology have application in the propagation of threatened and endangered species to reestablish and preserve them in their native range. Availability of high quality, certified plant material for cultivation enables the commercial production of high value plant material to meet the increasing market demand. By providing a consistent supply of cultivated material to the market, the harvest pressures on wild populations should be reduced.

The risk of accidental and incidental contamination and adulteration of plant material grown under CE is inherently lower than that of plant material harvested from either wild or cultivated populations. The conditions are known, and opportunities for introduction of unknown or undesirable species is limited. The use of CE would conceivably be a key good manufacturing practice for production of standards to determine purity of product on the market.

The photoregulation of secondary metabolism through active management of spectral quality has been demonstrated to significantly enhance concentration of anthocyanins, glycosinolates, phenolics, flavonoids and other secondary metabolites. The increasing availability and reduced cost of LEDs for lighting holds great promise for enabling the consistent production of plant material with 'custom' biochemical constituents.

The yield of MAP per unit area can be greatly increased using CE technology through use of CO₂ enrichment. By leveraging faster life cycle, higher yield, and year round production that can be achieved with CE, there are significant opportunities to achieve high quality, consistent production (Table 3). For example, assuming that the average yield from a 90 day seed to harvest cycle of hypothetical medicinal plant is ‘1’, and assuming that CO₂ enrichment to 1000 ppm will reduce seed to harvest cycle from 90 to 60 days and double plant size, the yield per m² is increased a minimum of 12 fold!

Table 3. Hypothetical Comparison of Productivity Per Unit Area of Medicinal Crop Grown under Either Field or Controlled Environment (CE) Conditions. This Assumes Year Round Production on a Single Layer under Elevated CO₂ Conditions.

| <i>Variable</i> | <i>Field</i> | <i>CE</i> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Growth Cycle (days) | 90 | 60 |
| Harvest(no/yr) | 1 | 6 |
| Yield/(unit/m ²) | 1 | 2 |
| Total (unit/m²/yr) | 1 | 12 |

CE technology and LED lighting also enables vertical production of plants in vertical farms or plant factories (76). If a typical production of 5 layers of plants is assumed the productivity will increase 60 fold/m²/year!

It is clear that the productivity and composition are affected by the growth environment, and that active management of these factors, specifically light quantity, quality and CO₂ concentration can have significant beneficial effects on the phytochemical composition. When targeting growth of a medicinal plant in CE in order to increase phytochemical production, the decision on what conditions to use will involve trade-offs on production of target compound versus potential changes in phytochemical profile, increases in productivity versus operating costs. However, from a technical perspective, there are significant opportunities to increase the yield of high quality MAPs with consistent phytochemical profiles. The diversity, high value, and unique properties of medicinally active plants make them promising candidates for production in CE.

References

1. Briskin, D. P. Medicinal plants and phytomedicines. Linking plant biochemistry and physiology to human health. *Plant Physiol.* **2000**, *124*, 507–514.
2. FAO. *Trade in medicinal plants*; FAO: Rome, 2008; <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/008/af285e/af285e00.pdf> (accessed August 23, 2015).

3. WHO. *WHO guidelines on good agricultural and collection practices (GACP) for medicinal plants*; Geneva, 2003.
4. Brinckmann, J. A. *Medicinal Plants and Natural Ingredients: Market Insider*; International Trade Center, Switzerland. 2014.
5. Smith, T.; Lynch, M. E.; Johnson, J.; Kawa, K.; Bauman, H.; Blumenthal, M. Herbal dietary supplement sales in US increase 6.8 % in 2014. *HerbalGram* **2015**, *107*, 52–59.
6. Lange, D. Medicinal and aromatic plants: Trade, production and management of botanical resources. *Acta Hort.* **2004**, *629*, 177–197.
7. Kuipers, S. E. Trade in Medicinal Plants. In *Medicinal plants for forest conservation and healthcare. Non-wood forest products (11)*; FAO: Rome, 1997; pp 45–59.
8. Foster, S. A brief history of adulteration of herbs, spices and botanical drugs. *HerbalGram* **2011**, *92*, 42–57.
9. Craker, L. E.; Gardner, Z.; Etter, S. C. Herbs in American Fields: A horticultural perspective of herb and medicinal plant production in the United States, 1903-2003. *HortScience* **2003**, *35*, 977–983.
10. Hamilton, A. C. Medicinal plants, conservation and livelihoods. *Biodiversity Conserv.* **2004**, *13*, 1477–1517.
11. Schippmann, U.; Leaman, D. J.; Cunningham, A. B. Impact of cultivation and gathering of medicinal plants on biodiversity: Global trends and issues. In *Biodiversity and the ecosystem approach in agriculture, forestry and fisheries*; FAO: Rome, 2002; pp 1–21.
12. Canter, P. H.; Thomas, H.; Ernst, E. Bringing medicinal plants into cultivation: Opportunities and challenges for biotechnology. *Trends in Biotechnol.* **2005**, *23*, 180–185.
13. Cousins, M. M.; Adelberg, J. W. In vitro plant and organ culture of medicinal and nutraceutical species in laboratory and industrial scales. *Acta Hort.* **2007**, *756*, 95–102.
14. Yadav, K.; Singh, N.; Sharuti, V. Plant tissue culture: a biotechnological tool for solving the problem of propagation of multipurpose endangered medicinal plants in India. *J. Agric. Technol.* **2012**, *8*, 305–318.
15. Tripathi, L.; Tripathi, J. N. Role of biotechnology in medicinal plants. *Trop. J. Pharm. Res.* **2003**, *2*, 243–253.
16. Sivarkumar, G.; Medina-Bolivar, F.; Lay, J. O.; Dolan, M. C.; Condori, J.; Grubbs, SK.; Wright, S. M.; Baque, M. A.; Lee, E. J.; Paek, K. Y. Bioprocess and Bioreactor: Next Generation Technology for Production of Potential Plant-based Antidiabetic and Antioxidant Molecules. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *18*, 79–90.
17. Stutte, G. W. Process and product: recirculating hydroponics and bioactive compounds in a controlled environment. *HortScience* **2008**, *133*, 631–638.
18. Dorais, M.; Papadopoulos, A. P.; Luo, X.; Leonhart, S.; Gosselin, A.; Pedneault, K.; Angers, P.; Gaudreasu, L. Soilless greenhouse production of medicinal plants in north eastern Canada. *Acta Hort.* **2001**, *554*, 297–303.
19. Ferreira, J. F.; Simon, J. E.; Janick, J. Developmental studies of *Artemisia annua*: flowering and artemisinin production under greenhouse and field conditions. *Planta Med.* **1995**, *61*, 167–170.

20. Acock, B.; Allen, L. H. Jr. Crop responses to elevated carbon dioxide concentration. In *Direct Effects of Increasing Carbon Dioxide on Vegetation*, DOE/ER-0238; Strain, B. R., Cure, J. D., Eds.; U.S. Dept. of Energy, Carbon Dioxide Res. Div.: Washington DC, 1985; pp 53–97.
21. Arp, W. J. Effects of source-sink relations on photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **1991**, *14*, 869–875.
22. Kimball, B. A. Carbon dioxide and agricultural yield: An assemblage and analysis of 430 prior observations. *Agron. J.* **1983**, *75*, 779–788.
23. Peet, M. M.; Krizek, D. T. Carbon dioxide. In *Plant growth chamber handbook*; Langhans, R. W, Tibbitts, T. W., Eds.; USDA North Central Regional Publication No. 340.; USDA: Washington, DC, 1997; pp 65–79.
24. Bugbee, B. G.; Salisbury, F. B. Exploring the limits of crop productivity I. Photosynthetic efficiency of wheat in high irradiance environments. *Plant Physiol.* **1988**, *88*, 869–878.
25. Gruda, N. Impact of environmental factors on product quality of greenhouse vegetables for fresh consumption. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **2005**, *120*, 1069–1074.
26. Mortensen, L. M. Review: CO₂ enrichment in greenhouses. Crop responses. *Sci. Hortic.* **1987**, *33*, 1–25.
27. Fonseca, J. M.; Rushing, J. W.; Rajapakse, N. C.; Thomas, R. L.; Riley, M. B. Potential implications of medicinal plant production in controlled environments: The case of feverfew (*Tanacetum parthenium*). *HortScience* **2006**, *41*, 531–535.
28. Stutte, G. W.; Eraso, I.; Downing, K. B. Feasibility of controlled environment production of *Scutellaria* species. *Acta Hortic.* **2007**, *756*, 213–220.
29. Ziska, L. H.; Emche, S. D.; Johnson, E. L.; George, K.; Reed, D. R.; Sicher, R. C. Alterations in the production and concentration of selected alkaloids as a function of rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and air temperature: implications for ethno-pharmacology. *Global Change Biol.* **2005**, *11*, 1798–1807.
30. Ali, M. B.; Hahn, E. J.; Paek, K-Y. CO₂-induced total phenolics in suspension cultures of *Panax ginseng* C.A. Mayer roots: role of antioxidants and enzymes. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2005**, *43*, 449–457.
31. Ziska, L. H.; Panicker, S.; Wojno, H. L. Recent and projected increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide and the potential impacts on growth and alkaloid production in wild poppy (*Papaver setigerum* DC.). *Clim. Change* **2008**, *91*, 395–403.
32. Savé, R.; de Herralde, F.; Codina, C.; Sánchez, X; Bil, C. Effects of atmospheric carbon dioxide fertilization on biomass and secondary metabolites of some plant species with pharmacological interest under greenhouse conditions. *Afinidad* **2007**, *64*, 237–241.
33. Chaturvedi, A. K.; Vashistha, R. J.; Rawat, N. Effect of CO₂ enrichment on photosynthetic behavior of *Podophyllum Hexandrum* Royle, an endangered medicinal herb. *J. Am. Sci.* **2009**, *5*, 113–118.
34. Mosaleeyanon, K.; Zobayed, S. M. A.; Afreen, F.; Kozai, T. Relationships between net photosynthetic rate and secondary metabolite contents in St. John's wort. *Plant Sci.* **2005**, *169*, 523–531.

35. Zobayed, S.; Saxena, P. K. Production of St. John's Wort plants under controlled environment for maximizing biomass and secondary metabolites. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.: Plant* **2004**, *40*, 108–114.
36. Stuhlfauth, T.; Fock, H. P. Effect of whole season CO₂ enrichment on the cultivation of a medicinal plant, *Digitalis lanata*. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **1990**, *164*, 168–173.
37. Stuhlfauth, T.; Klug, K.; Fock, H. P. 1987. The production of secondary metabolites by *Digitalis lanata* during CO₂ enrichment and water stress. *Phytochemistry* **1987**, *26*, 2735–2739.
38. Idso, S. B.; Kimball, B. A.; Pettit, G. R., III; Garner, L. C.; Pettit, G. R.; Backhaus, R. A. Effects of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment on the growth and development of *Hymenocallis littoralis* (Amaryllidaceae) and the concentrations of several antineoplastic and antiviral constituents of its bulbs. *Am. J. Bot.* **2000**, *87*, 769–773.
39. Ibrahim, M. H.; Jaafar, H. Z. E. Increased carbon dioxide concentration improves the antioxidative properties of the Malaysian herb kacip fatimah (*Labisia pumila* Blume). *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 6068–6081.
40. Ghasemzadeh, A.; Jaafar, H. Z. E. Effect of CO₂ enrichment on synthesis of some primary and secondary metabolites in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2011**, *12*, 1101–1114.
41. Schonhof, I.; Klaring, H.-P.; Krumbein, A.; Schreiner, M. Interaction between atmospheric CO₂ and glucosinolates in broccoli. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2007**, *33*, 105–114.
42. Caldwell, C. R.; Britz, S. J.; Mirecki, R. M. Effect of temperature, elevated carbon dioxide, and drought during seed development on the isoflavone content of dwarf soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] grown in controlled environments. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 1125–1129.
43. Kim, S.-H.; Jung, W.-S.; Ahn, J.-K.; Kim, J.-A.; Chung, I.-M. 2005. Quantitative analysis of the isoflavone content and biological growth of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) at elevated temperature, CO₂ level and N application. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2005**, *85*, 2557–2566.
44. Stutte, G. W.; Eraso, I.; Rimando, A. M. Carbon dioxide enrichment enhances growth and flavonoid content of two *Scutellaria* species. *J. Amer. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* **2008**, *133*, 631–638.
45. Ignatius, R. W.; Martin, T. S.; Bula, R. J.; Morrow, R. C.; Tibbitts, T. W. U.S. patent no. 5,012,609, 1991.
46. Kim, H.-H.; Wheeler, R. M.; Sager, J. C.; Yorio, N. C.; Goins, G. D. Light-emitting diodes as an illumination source for plants: A review of research at Kennedy Space Center. *Habitation* **2005**, *10*, 71–78.
47. Kozai, T. Resource use efficiency of closed plant production system with artificial light: Concept, estimation and application to plant factory. *Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. B* **2013**, *89*, 447–461.
48. Massa, G. D.; Kim, H.-H.; Wheeler, R. M.; Mitchell, C. A. Plant productivity in response to LED lighting. *HortScience* **2008**, *43*, 1951–1956.
49. Mitchell, C. A.; Burr, J. F.; Dzakovich, M. J.; Gomez, C.; Lopez, R.; Hernandez, R.; Kubota, C.; Currey, C. J.; Meng, Q.; Runkle, E. S.;

- Bourget, C. M.; Morrow, R. C.; Both, A. J. Light-emitting diodes in horticulture. *HortReviews* **2015**, *43*, 1–88.
50. Stutte, G. W. Commercial transition to LEDs: A pathway to high-value products. *HortScience* **2015**, *50*, 1–4.
51. How, J-L.; Li, W-D.; Zheng, Q-Y.; Wang, W-Q.; Xiao, B.; Xing, D. Effect of low light intensity on growth and accumulation of secondary metabolites in roots of *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* Fisch. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2010**, *38*, 160–168.
52. Briskin, D. P.; Gawienowski, M. C. Differential effects of light and nitrogen on production of hypericins and leaf glands in *Hypericum perforatum*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2001**, *39*, 1075–1081.
53. Odabas, M. S.; Raduģienė, J.; Camas, N.; Janulis, V.; Ivanauskas, L.; Irak, C. The quantitative effects of temperature and light intensity on hyperforin and hypericins accumulation in *Hypericum perforatum* L. *J. Med. Plants Res.* **2009**, *3*, 519–525.
54. Yan, X.; Yang, W.; Xinhai, S. Effects of greenhouse light intensity and quality on biomass and salidroside content in roots of *Rhodiola sachalinensis*. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* **2003**, *23*, 841–849.
55. Höft, M.; Verpoorte, R.; Beck, E. Growth and alkaloid contents in leaves of *Tabernaemontana pachysiphon* Stapf (Apocynaceae) as influenced by light intensity, water and nutrient supply. *Oecologia* **1996**, *107*, 160–169.
56. Ghasemzadeh, A.; Jaafer, H. Z. E.; Rahmat, A.; Wahab, P. E. M.; Halim, M. R. A. Effect of different light intensities on total phenolics and flavonoids synthesis and antioxidant activities in young ginger varieties (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2010**, *11*, 3885–3897.
57. Watson, R.; Wright, C. J.; McBurney, T.; Taylor, A. J.; Linforth, R. S. T. Influence on harvest date and light integral on the development of strawberry flavor compounds. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2002**, *53*, 2121–2129.
58. Gautier, H.; Rocci, A.; Buret, M.; Grasselly, D.; Dumas, Y.; Causse, M. Effect of photoselective filters on the physical and chemical traits of vine-ripened tomato fruits. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **2005**, *85*, 439–446.
59. Kowalczyk, K.; Gajc-Wolska, J.; Metera, A.; Mazur, K.; Radzanowska, J.; Szatkowski, M. Effect of supplementary lighting on the quality of tomato fruit (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) in autumn-winter cultivation. *Acta Hort.* **2012**, *956*, 395–402.
60. Lefsrud, M.; Kopsell, D.; Sams, C. Irradiance from distinct wavelength light-emitting diodes affect secondary metabolites in kale. *HortScience* **2008**, *43*, 2243–2244.
61. Stutte, G. W.; Edney, S.; Skerritt, T. Photoregulation of bioprotectant content of red leaf lettuce with light-emitting diodes. *HortScience* **2009**, *44*, 79–82.
62. Li, Q.; Kubota, C. Effects of supplemental light quality on growth and phytochemicals of babyleaf lettuce. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2009**, *67*, 59–64.
63. Kopsell, D. A.; Sams, C. E. Increases in shoot tissue pigments, glucosinolates, and mineral elements in sprouting broccoli after exposure to short-duration blue light from light emitting diodes. *HortScience* **2013**, *138*, 31–37.

64. Samuolienė, G.; Brazaitytė, A.; Sirtautas, R.; Sakalauskienė, S.; Jankauskienė, J.; Duchovskis, P.; Noviškovas, A. The impact of supplementary short-term red LED lighting on the antioxidant properties of microgreens. *Acta Hort.* **2012**, *956*, 649–656.
65. Tarakanov, I.; Yakovleva, O.; Konovalova, I.; Paliutina, G.; Anisimov, A. Light-emitting diodes: on the way to combinatorial lighting technologies for basic research and crop production. *Acta Hort.* **2012**, *956*, 171–178.
66. Park, S. U.; Ahn, D.-J.; Jeon, H.-H.; Kwon, T. R.; Lim, H.-S.; Choi, B.-S.; Baek, K.-H.; Bae, H. Increase in the contents of ginsenosides in raw ginseng roots in response to exposure to 450 and 470 nm light from light-emitting diodes. *J. Ginseng Res.* **2012**, *36*, 198–204.
67. Nishimura, R.; Zobayed, S. M. A.; Kozai, T.; Goto, E. Medicinally important secondary metabolites and growth of *Hypericum perforatum* L. plants as affected by light quality and intensity. *Environ. Control Biol.* **2007**, *45*, 113–120.
68. Schreiner, M.; Mewis, I.; Huyskens-Keit, S.; Jansen, M. A. K.; Zrenner, R.; Winkler, J. B.; O'Brian, N.; Krumbein, A. UV-B-Induced secondary plant metabolites-Potential benefits for plant and human health. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **2012**, *31*, 229–240.
69. Manukyan, A. Effects of PAR and UV-B radiation on herbal yield, bioactive compounds and their antioxidant capacity of some medicinal plants under controlled environmental conditions. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2013**, *89*, 406–414.
70. Brechner, M. L.; Albright, L. D.; Weston, L. A. 2011. Effects of UV-B on secondary metabolites of St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum* L.) grown in controlled environments. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2011**, *87*, 680–684.
71. Shiga, T.; Shoji, K.; Shimada, H.; Hashida, S.-N.; Goto, F.; Yoshihara, T. Effect of light quality on rosmarinic acid content and antioxidant activity of sweet basil, *Ocimum basilicum*. *Plant Biotechnol.* **2009**, *26*, 255–259.
72. Sakalauskaitė, J.; Viškelis, P.; Duchovskis, P.; Dambrauskienė, E.; Sakalauskienė, S.; Samuolienė, G.; Brazaitytė, A. Supplementary UV-B irradiation effects on basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) growth and phytochemical properties. *J. Food, Agric. Environ.* **2012**, *10*, 342–346.
73. Afreen, F.; Zobayed, S. M. A.; Kozai, T. Melatonin in *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*: response of plant roots to spectral quality of light and UV-B radiation. *J. Pineal Res.* **2006**, *41*, 108–115.
74. Gregianini, T. S.; da Silveira, V. C.; Porto, D. D.; Kerber, V. A.; Henriques, A. T.; Fett-Neto, A. G. The alkaloid brachycerine is induced by ultraviolet radiation and is a singlet oxygen quencher. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2003**, *78*, 470–474.
75. Dolzhenko, Y.; Berteau, C. M.; Occhipinti, A.; Bossi, S.; Maffei, M. E. UV-B modulates the interplay between terpenoids and flavonoids in peppermint (*Mentha x piperita* L.). *J. Photochem Photobiol., B* **2010**, *100*, 67–75.
76. Kozai, T. Plant factory in Japan-current situation and perspectives. *Chron. Horticult.* **2013**, *53*, 8–11.